

Global Awards Committee

Sunday, September 29th, 10:15-11:15

Annual Meeting Minutes

Meeting came to order at 10:25am with the following in attendance: Alex Kunkle, Jesse Poole, Brittany Hoover, Zoranna Jones, Teri Farr (Admin Division rep), Theresa Hitchcock, Brandan Lowden, Karen Lewis, Sherrie Jensen, Jennifer Joslin, Jessica Staten, Leah Panganiban

1. Call to order Brandan Lowden, Brittany Hoover
2. Introductions of all in attendance and new Committee member to replace the resignation of incoming member-at-large Ivette Barbosa. Welcomed Jesse Poole, Western Oregon University, 2018-2020, member at-large
3. Review of handouts
 - a. Awards Stats review from 2018 conversation:
 - i. Comments on types of institutions represented since large universities dominated in most awards categories again this year
 1. More webinars and training sessions needed to level the playing field
 2. Training materials on what constitutes a good application/nomination in all categories. Can this be standardized somehow? Points that will reflect for all applications
 3. Training was a goal for 2017-18 that needs moving forward to 2018-19 as well
 4. Is a subcommittee needed here? No agreement reached, need to revisit in a subsequent meeting to solidify. If this goal doable before awards open in mid-December? Aim for 2019-2020 implementation, then a subcommittee has more time to implement?
4. Discussion of overall review process and inconsistency in numbers of readers for each bunch of assigned reviews.
 - a. Subcommittee on rubrics decided and a Doodle poll will be conducted to determine a meeting in November. Rubrics subcommittee members are:
 - i. Zoranna Jones
 - ii. Megumi Makino-Kanehiro
 - iii. Brittany Hoover
 - iv. Theresa Hitchcock
 - v. Sherrie Jensen
 - b. Rubrics committee might address unspoken idea of a regional award scoring more points and NACADA participation points? Explore what participation means within certain awards
 - c. Discussion of scoring for Certificates of Merit (COM's). Rubric scoring does not align well with scoring in the awards system and does not help the Chair fairly (numerically) decide on winners versus COM's. Some of that is subjective, but discussion of ways to ensure fairness and equal numbers of COM's each year would be beneficial.

5. Awards Committee Draft Guidelines discussion
 - a. Discussion of recruitment of members? The shoulder tap is the still in play, as well as just stating an interest. How can this be more systematic and inclusive?
 - b. Communication to members needs to improve about attending our meeting. It is an open meeting but only members can vote.
 - c. Regional Chairs being on awards committee? Could they send a delegate?
 - d. Do we want individuals to apply to be on the committee? If so, what does an application look like?
 - i. Application sounds like a barrier
 - ii. Exclusion of people that aren't already connected
 - iii. We're looking for members letter of interest:
 1. More inclusive – regional representation
 2. Listed Guidelines
 3. Letter of intent
 4. Couple questions
 - e. Alex and Dawn will develop language on expanding members to the committee, including exploration of invites to regional delegates, the aspect of a letter of intent/interest in serving versus application, etc. We'll present these options in a subsequent meeting this fall.
6. November meeting will be arranged to continue discussions and continued review of additional items added to Draft Guidelines. Possible online vote during Zoom meeting. Doodle poll will go out to determine date/time for fall meeting.