**Region 2 Conference Graduate Student Scholarship**

This scholarship recognizes graduate students pursuing new or continued careers in the field of academic advising and are seeking to become involved or further involved in NACADA Region 2.

**Eligibility:**
- Must be a self nomination.
- Not required to be a member of NACADA but does need to live, work, or attend a graduate institution within Region 2.
- Must be in a graduate program through the time of the NACADA Region 2 conference.
- Previous winners are not eligible.

**Award Criteria**

*All required and optional documentation other than the resume or curriculum vita should not exceed two pages, single spaced, and must be submitted in pdf format.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inadequate</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No evidence is demonstrated. Expectations not met.*

*Some evidence is demonstrated. Some expectations met.*

*Most evidence is demonstrated. Meets expectations.*

*All evidence is demonstrated. Exceeds expectations.*

**Required Documentation:**
1. Nomination Letter

**Optional Documentation:** *Strongly encouraged*
1. Letter(s) of Support *Maximum two*
2. Nominee’s Resume or Curriculum Vita
3. Nominee’s Personal Advising Philosophy Statement

**Inadequate**
Incomplete nomination. Required documentation not included.

**Fair**
Required documentation included. Optional documentation not included.

**Proficient**
Required documentation included. Some optional documentation included.

**Outstanding**
All required and optional documentation included.

**Graduate Program, Career Goals, and NACADA Involvement:**
1. Does the nominee clearly state the graduate program they are involved in and the relation to higher education and the field of academic advising?
2. Does the nominee articulate their career goals, new or related to their current position?
3. Does the nominee clearly state their interest in attending the Region 2 Conference?
4. Does the nominee speak to what they hope to gain from attending the Region 2 Conference?
5. Does the nominee speak to their interest in becoming involved or further involved in Region 2?

**Nominee has no evidence supporting their graduate program participation and career goals nor their interest in the Region 2 Conference and NACADA involvement.**

**Nominee has some evidence supporting their graduate program participation and career goals, as well as their interest in the Region 2 Conference and NACADA involvement.**

**Nominee has compelling evidence supporting their graduate program participation and career goals, as well as their interest in the Region 2 Conference and NACADA involvement.**

**Nominee has overwhelming evidence supporting their graduate program participation and career goals, as well as their interest in the Region 2 Conference and NACADA involvement.**

Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. No specific examples included.

Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. Some specific examples are included.

Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. Some specific examples are included.

Specific examples are included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research or Presentation Interests:</th>
<th>Nominee has no evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests.</th>
<th>Nominee has some evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. No specific examples included.</th>
<th>Nominee has strong or compelling evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Some specific examples are included.</th>
<th>Nominee has overwhelming evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Specific examples are included.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the nominee describe a topic they are currently researching or intending to research and the relationship to advising?</td>
<td>Nominee has no evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests.</td>
<td>Nominee has some evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. No specific examples included.</td>
<td>Nominee has strong or compelling evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Some specific examples are included.</td>
<td>Nominee has overwhelming evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Specific examples are included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the nominee describe a topic that they would be interested in presenting at an academic conference in the future?</td>
<td>Nominee has no evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests.</td>
<td>Nominee has some evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. No specific examples included.</td>
<td>Nominee has strong or compelling evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Some specific examples are included.</td>
<td>Nominee has overwhelming evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Specific examples are included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Does the nominee state that they have submitted a presentation for this year’s conference?</td>
<td>Nominee has no evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests.</td>
<td>Nominee has some evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Commentary is broad and includes sweeping statements. No specific examples included.</td>
<td>Nominee has strong or compelling evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Some specific examples are included.</td>
<td>Nominee has overwhelming evidence describing their research or presentation current practices or interests. Specific examples are included.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nominee only needs to articulate one of the above for eligibility.

*Original rubric design created by Karen B. Hauschild, College of Charleston on behalf of Region 3 Awards and Scholarships, adapted by NACADA Global Awards, modified for NACADA Region 2 Awards and Scholarships by Michele Applegate, University of Delaware 6/28/20.*